

EQUALITY ANALYSIS QUALITY ASSURANCE CHECKLIST

Name of 'proposal' and how has it been implemented (proposal can be a policy, service, function, strategy, project, procedure, restructure/savings proposal)	Delegation to the Transport and Environment Committee and Third Variation to the Association of the London Government Transport and Environment Committee Agreement
Directorate / Service	LPG/ Legal Services CLC/ Public Realm D&R/ Strategy Regeneration and Sustainability
Lead Officer	David Galpin, Service Head Legal Services Jamie Blake, Service Head Public Realm Jackie Odunoye, Head of Strategy Regeneration & Sustainability
Signed Off By (inc date)	Jamie Blake 11.03.15
Summary – to be completed at the end of completing the QA (using Appendix A) (Please provide a summary of the findings of the Quality Assurance checklist. What has happened as a result of the QA? For example, based on the QA a Full EA will be undertaken or, based on the QA a Full EA will not be undertaken as due regard to the nine protected groups is embedded in the proposal and the proposal has low relevance to equalities)	<div style="display: flex; align-items: center; margin-bottom: 10px;"> <div style="width: 20px; height: 20px; background-color: green; margin-right: 10px;"></div> <div>Proceed with implementation</div> </div> <p>As a result of performing the QA checklist, the policy, project or function does not appear to have any adverse effects on people who share <i>Protected Characteristics</i> and no further actions are recommended at this stage.</p>

Stage	Checklist Area / Question	Yes / No / Unsure	Comment (If the answer is no/unsure, please ask the question to the SPP Service Manager or nominated equality lead to clarify)
1	Overview of Proposal		

a	Are the outcomes of the proposals clear?	Yes	<p>London Councils has asked the London Borough of Tower Hamlets along with the other 32 councils participating in London Councils to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • formally delegate their powers under section 1 of the Localism Act 2011 (the general power of competence) to TEC for the purpose of providing an appeals service for parking on private land for the BPA contract; • confirm that the services have been and continue to be provided on this basis; and • vary the TEC governing agreement to this effect. <p>This report asks the MAB to indicate whether the request from London Council's is supported and, if so, whether a report may be brought forward to Cabinet in April to give effect to it.</p>
b	Is it clear who will be or is likely to be affected by what is being proposed (inc service users and staff)? Is there information about the equality profile of those affected?	Yes	<p>This report asks the MAB to indicate whether the request from London Council's is supported and, if so, whether a report may be brought forward to Cabinet in April to give effect to it.</p> <p>London Councils and its legal advisors remain of the view that the service is currently being delivered by the Transport and Environment Committee (TEC) on a lawful basis on behalf of all the participating authorities with their consent and proper authority under the existing terms of the TEC Governing Agreement. London Councils, however, accepted that there is room for argument as to whether individual councils had to state expressly that they agreed that the arrangement with the BPA was pursuant to exercise by TEC of their powers under section 1 of the Localism Act 2011.</p> <p>MAB's decision on this will affect neither service users nor the Council staff.</p>

2	Monitoring / Collecting Evidence / Data and Consultation		
a	Is there reliable qualitative and quantitative data to support claims made about impacts?	Yes	As above, the report asks the MAB to indicate whether the request from London Council's is supported and, if so, whether a report may be brought forward to Cabinet in April to give effect to it.
	Is there sufficient evidence of local/regional/national research that can inform the analysis?	N/A	
b	Has a reasonable attempt been made to ensure relevant knowledge and expertise (people, teams and partners) have been involved in the analysis?	Yes	The report is informed by Audit Commission advice and London Councils' view.
c	Is there clear evidence of consultation with stakeholders and users from groups affected by the proposal?	Yes	All participating 33 councils have been asked to consider the three points above.
3	Assessing Impact and Analysis		
a	Are there clear links between the sources of evidence (information, data etc) and the interpretation of impact amongst the nine protected characteristics?	N/A	
b	Is there a clear understanding of the way in which proposals applied in the same way can have unequal impact on different groups?	N/A	
4	Mitigation and Improvement Action Plan		
a	Is there an agreed action plan?	Yes	If MAB agrees, a report may be brought forward to Cabinet in April.
b	Have alternative options been explored	N/A	
5	Quality Assurance and Monitoring		
a	Are there arrangements in place to review or audit the implementation of the proposal?	N/A	
b	Is it clear how the progress will be monitored to track impact across the protected characteristics??	N/A	
6	Reporting Outcomes and Action Plan		
a	Does the executive summary contain sufficient information on the key findings arising from the assessment?	Yes	

